

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

- Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

I WOULD USE MORE EXAMPLES ABOUT STRUCTURE OR "BAD" EXAMPLES
OF ABSTRACT AND PART OF THE DISCUSSION

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course? HOW TO ORGANIZE / WRITE THE
COVER LETTER AND ELEVATOR PITCH

What was least useful/good about the course? I DON'T FIND ANYTHING

Any further comments? IN THE TIME CÉLINE GIVE US, I BELIEVE IT WAS
VERY ACCURATE, WITH NICE EMOTIONS AND ENERGY

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course? Practicing the elevator pitch with colleagues

And discussion about structure of our abstracts, Making new contacts within the institute

What was least useful/good about the course?

Any further comments?

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**
2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**
3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- **Agree** ----- Strongly Agree
not enough time for my (last) abstract training in group
4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**
5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- **Not Sure** ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----**4**-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----**5**

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----**5**

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----**5**

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

Wojan you was great!

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- **Yes**

What was most useful/good about the course?

experiences directly from editor / person with experience

What was least useful/good about the course?

Any further comments?

maybe the part about writing of manuscript is more useful for people with less experiences with submitting of papers but it is however ~~the~~ good to listen it whole

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

- Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**
- Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**
- Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**
- Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**
- Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- **Agree** ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----**4**-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----**4**-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----**5**

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----**5**

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

I have only one comments. I really enjoy that. Thank you.

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- **Yes**

What was most useful/good about the course? *Despite I already have written some manuscript Céline bring a new, important points, which are very useful*

What was least useful/good about the course? *Nothing*

Any further comments? *Thank you! You do perfect job for young researcher. It was good experience. Please do not stop. Give one small comment, try to point out how cooperation between researcher is important. Thanks*

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

- Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, Céline Carret, to improve her performance:

no ideas, it was great. Just great.

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

Sure.

What was most useful/good about the course?

the exercises; the possibility to practice and the feedback; also great that we discussed with people we didn't know much yet, from different fields

What was least useful/good about the course?

maybe slightly longish description of the flash talk while similar to elevator pitch ... if I am right

Any further comments?

Céline, you have a great, (friendly), positive attitude towards the attendees; that's one of the main part that made the course so nice. And you have a decent accent, which is in fact cute. :)

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

- Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4----------5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4----------5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4----------5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4----------5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

Info about talks (poster presentation, Elevator pitch, etc.)

What was least useful/good about the course?

Any further comments?

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

- Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

SUPER , IF VIDEOS IN POWERPOINT BETRAY IT'S USEFUL TO HAVE
THE VIDEO ON A HARDDRIVE

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

STRUCTURE OF THE PAPER , ICE BREAKING

What was least useful/good about the course?

EXAMPLES OF ELEVATOR PITCH

Any further comments?

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

maybe sometimes will be better speak a little bit louder, if the windows are opened

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

how to organize the writing of paper, differentiate the speeches types

What was least useful/good about the course?

everything was useful,

Any further comments?

I would like to thank for the time and course ☺

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

I liked her very much

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

Real life examples, insights into the editorial
process

What was least useful/good about the course?

i'm not sure if it has to be that long

Any further comments?

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

talking to colleagues title - how to make title jlevator pitch

What was least useful/good about the course?

what was less usefull now will be useful later for me

Any further comments?

Thank you very much

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

very positive atmosphere
I'm not as afraid of presenting as before.

What was least useful/good about the course?

nothing

Any further comments?

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

LEARNING THE TIPS HOW TO COMMUNICATE WITH EDITORS + LEARNING TO FORMULATE ESSENTIAL QUESTIONS FOR MANUSCRIPT

What was least useful/good about the course?

DISCUSSING FLASH TALKS / ELEVATOR PITCH WAS QUITE LONG TIME

Any further comments?

Writing a Scientific Research Paper

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Probably not really more comfortable but for sure more motivated

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs
1-----2-----3-----4----- 5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development
1-----2-----3-----4----- 5

8. Overall quality of the course content
1-----2-----3-----4----- 5

9. Overall value of exercises
1-----2-----3-----4----- 5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, Céline Carret, to improve her performance:

I don't have any, thank you very much!

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

particular examples (eg the cover

letter..) exercises, info about the Editorial work,

forcing the participants to work actively together and mix couples/teams

What was least useful/good about the course?

Any further comments?

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**

2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**

3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**

4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**

5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4----- **5**

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4----- **5**

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4----- **5**

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4----- **5**

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

Content of the course was perfect and celine was great

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- **Yes**

What was most useful/good about the course?

to help me to understand how ~~the~~ important is to be clear, to be simple and acertive in my papers

What was least useful/good about the course?

everything was usefull

Any further comments?

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work

Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

It was great workshop. I liked the opinions and point to thinking about deeper.

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

The deep ideas or opinions to think about.

What was least useful/good about the course?

Any further comments?

Maybe preparation for elevator pitch, should be send us some as abstract.

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

- Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----5

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, Céline Carret, to improve her performance:

I AM NOT AWARE OF ANYTHING THAT CÉLINE SHOULD IMPROVE IN HER PERFORMANCE.

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes

What was most useful/good about the course?

I THINK I KNEW SOME OF THE RULES OF PRESENTING THE RESEARCH TOPICS, BUT THIS COURSE WENT STEP BY STEP AND SHOWS US DIFFERENT STYLES OF PRESENTATION (LIKE I NEVER HEARD OF ELEVATOR SPEECH)

What was least useful/good about the course?

SO IT IS NICE TO HAVE EVERYTHING PACKED IN ONE COURSE I ENJOYED THE WHOLE COURSE, SO IT'S FINE

Any further comments?

COME TO OUR INSTITUTE MORE OFTEN :)

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

- 1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- 2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- 3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- 4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree
- 5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

- 6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs
1-----2-----3-----4-----5
- 7. Overall value of the course to my professional development
1-----2-----3-----4-----5
- 8. Overall quality of the course content
1-----2-----3-----4-----5
- 9. Overall value of exercises
1-----2-----3-----4-----5
- 10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, **Céline Carret**, to improve her performance:

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- Yes -----

What was most useful/good about the course?

I found most important the presentation of posters, the psychology behind it and the hints how to simplify my results and present them.

What was least useful/good about the course?

~~write down what~~ Probably the ~~too~~ exercise to write about articles

Any further comments?

It was a good course. thank you

Writing a Scientific Research Paper

EMBO Laboratory Management

Date and location of workshop Brno, 30-31 March 2023

Please circle whether you agree or disagree with the following statements

After this workshop, I feel that I:

1. Understand the basic concepts of a scientific research paper and how to approach it strategically
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**
2. Understand how to assemble figures and why this is important for my paper
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- **Agree** ----- Strongly Agree
3. Understand how to write clear and effective abstract and title
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- **Agree** ----- Strongly Agree
4. Understand how to give a short presentation of my work (Elevator pitch/poster/flash talk)
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- Agree ----- **Strongly Agree**
5. Feel more comfortable about talking to others about the significance of my work
Strongly Disagree ----- Disagree ----- Not Sure ----- **Agree** ----- Strongly Agree

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 is excellent, 3 is average and 1 is poor:

6. Overall relevance of the course to my scientific publishing needs

1-----2-----3-----**4**-----5

7. Overall value of the course to my professional development

1-----2-----3-----**4**-----5

8. Overall quality of the course content

1-----2-----3-----4-----**5**

9. Overall value of exercises

1-----2-----3-----4-----**5**

10. Comments and suggestions, if any, for the trainer, Céline Carret, to improve her performance:

Celine, it was brilliant. I really enjoyed the workshop and I learnt new things according presentation and also was surprised by some of your suggestions about writing. I am probably too high-school, so I will definitely try to write my paper new way shown by you:)

Would you recommend the course to a colleague?

No ----- Not sure ----- **Yes**

What was most useful/good about the course?

Advices for the short presentations and elevator pitch. Information from editor's point of view, its price-less.

What was least useful/good about the course?

Maybe, the course was meant for younger people but it's not your fault. We were not informed. But it was great instead.

Any further comments?

I am very glad that I had the opportunity to learn from you. Thank you for your work, your inspiration.

All the best ♥

Writing a Scientific Research Paper